## Seidel School of Education and Professional Studies Professional Education Unit Conceptual Framework



2013

| • | Secondary Education withcademic ajors in liberal arts from the Fulton Sch |
|---|---|
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |

mission of the University. Revisions to the original frameworloccurred regularly to reflect local and national initiatives. In 202304the conceptual frameworkwas formally revisited and updated. Through faculty discussion the unit reached a conseto add an organizing thentoe better represent the work oSalisbury University teacher education graduates themeCaring, Competent and Committed, replaced the original A Tradition of Caring was timely in the sense of educational change related to the social and political context of schooling basednew research and publications in the field, The Redesign of Teacher Education Performance Criteria (2001) and No Child Left Behind (NCLB) legislation (2001). In additionum of the discussionus rounding the revisions to the original conceptual frameworkemerged as a result of SUEspanded collaborative relationships with schools. The 2005 version of the onceptual frameworkwas a result of similar discussion with collaborative partners and sakeholders as the original 1999 conceptual framewoblescussion beganin 20092010 to review the conceptual frameworkin light of both local and national change that occurred since 2005. In 2011, the document was again revisited and revised, ineffort to encompass more recent trends and changes due to the ever changing context of teacher education in the United States and Maryland. In addition, significant changes had been made to advanced preparation of teacher candidates. Faculty were commend to revising the existing framework with advanced candidates in mind. The revised conceptual framewo2013 retains much of the focus of the original documental retains thevalues of: Informed and Reflective Practice; Enhanced Studentring; Schalrship; and Collaboration

Revisions to the 201@conceptual frameworkwere made through a deliberate process that began in 2009 and continued through the fafl2012. The major revision to the conceptual framework was to add more specific outcomes and extations related to SU's advanced program in Curriculum and Instruction. After intensive conversation regarding advanced preparation of teachers, a full revision of the Masters of Education in Curriculum Instruction program was acceptanting, 2010. Based on that work and a focus of the program from elective tracks to specialty concentration areas based on Shulman's (1987) "Knowledge Base" categories, the conceptual framework was revised to inconcept the changes Further, the value informed and Reflective Pedagogy was altered to better reflect the beliefs in the unit. Informed and Reflective Pedagogy as reworded to become Informed and Reaftive Practice.

Role and Purpose of the Conceptual Framework

The philosophy and attributes reflected in the **cep**tual frameworkindicate the emphasis that

identified and assessed in our overall assessment of teacher candidates.

The conceptual framework anchors us and insures that curriculum development and part revisions continuemeet our values. Yet, while it keeps us true to our mission, it must also evolve in response to a wide range of factors that impact us, including social, political, economic and cultural forces and events. The onceptual framework guides curriculum development and program revision.

and knowledge to reflect and modify instructional practice. At Salisbury University this occurs in a collaborative environment involving candidates pir peers, faculty, mentors, supervisors, and the larger community in various partnership settings.

Salisbury University teacher education initial and advanced programs base decisions on professional and ethical judgment. As a result candidates

- Critically examine teaching practitemake informed decisions which positively impact student learning
- Apply pedagogical theory, continuous reflection, and assessment to enhance instruction for diverse populations in various educational settings including high poverty schools
- Reflect on claurally relevant and globally informed pedagogy
- Utilize technology to foster critical thinking, inquiry teaching, collaboration, and communication to enhance learning for all students
- Psh(s)/(I)7.66(yy)epaca/s(ml)7.4fakaag/M.3(n)-986(e)-8.s)-0.7(p)-9.6((l)7.6(n)-9.897/(1.3(p)-0.8(p)-5.16r)/(1/786(p)-9

organizations of schooling (Estler, 1986;nge, CambralMcCabe, Lucas, Smith, Dutton & Kleimer, 2000). Educational leaders must possess skills in analyzing organizationis contexts, and national, state and local standards. Recognizing decimaking as an essential element of teaching, programsprovidecandidates with the knowledge and experience to become reflective decision remark

Excellence in education is not routine and cannot be scripted. Salisbury Univertisity program and the appropriate observe classroom interactions and reflect on the appropriateness and outcomes of these interactions. Early pedagogy counsitists accompanying field experiences quire candidates to engage with students and reflect on the instructional times in classroom settings. As candidates progress rough the program they are increasingly required to justify what and how they are teaching. Candidates are strongly encouraged to ask themself would I employ a particular classroom procedure omethodology? How will I engage and motivate my students? Whise it most appropriate to do so?" Candidates are required to reflection to reflection. A key focus of the required day internship is continuous self reflection.

day internship. Candidates hameultiple opportunities to practice selection and delivery of instruction for a rich variety of teaching situations and to adjust that instruction for varying profiles of students. With class assignments and internship experiences, SU candidates are urged to ask then where, "am I teaching? Where I teaching this content or process? Howight Iteach this? What are my students learning? What did I learn about myself based on my teaching? Introgent I adjust my instruction?" As Donovan et al. (2003) point out, "To provide a knowledgered classroom environment, attention must be given to whis taught (information, subject mastery), why it is taught (understandy

| equation of the informed and reflective practitione Educators must consider threle of technology |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

- Advocate for positive educational change to increase student learning
- Direct their own professional learning and development as master educators

The candidate's ability teach "all" students -Enhanced Student Learnings fundamental to the preparation of effective educators and school professionals. Changegie Task Force (1989), Goodlad (1991), and the Holmes Group (1986) identified the ability to teach all structer fundamental to effective teaching. Currently, student learnings become increasingly paramount. A recent NCATE report states: "P12 student learning must serve as the focal point for the design and implementation of clinically based teacher preparation, and for the assessment of newly minted teachers and the programs that have prepared them" (NCATE, 2010, p.Te)eNo Child Left Behind Act (2001) challenged educators to address the needs of adhildren learning and achieving hemore recent Rac to the Top (RTT) initiative increases in challenge by cousing on students increases this oriented pedagogy inherent in Common Core State Standa down (mon Core State Standards Initiative, 2)012 Race to the Top's initiative tourn around failing schools and to improve the use of data to improve instruction forat-risk students articulates a national policy aimed results for all children, including those whose learning is adversely affected by poverty, linguistic difference, or disability.

Well prepared teachers, ready for their first year or advancing their skills through advanced degrees, can understand and respond to the complexities inherent in teaching in order to produce student learning (NCATE, 2010). Teaching is complex degree in uncertain conditions (Skrtic, 1995).

Ball and Forzani (2009) articulate the complexities of the profession as they define its work: "The work of teaching includes broad cultural competence and relational sensitivity, communication skills and the combination of rigor and imagination fundamental to effective practice. Skillful teaching requires appropriately using and integrating specific moves and activities in particular cases and contexts, based on knowledge and understanding of one's pupils and the application of professional judgment" (p. 497). At Salisbury University, we preparer teacher candidates to know and apply sound learning theory, to appreciate the developmental characteristics of their students, to deeply understand their content disciplines, to appreciate the diversity of school children and to commit to learning how to effectively teach all learners effective teaching occurs when teachers possess the attitudes and teaching methods to facilitate the devel -0.0043(ei)2.7(r)14()]TJ 5.6 (k)-5.r0.6(s)plB2(e)-3(10043(ei(ef)2. Td-3)).

understand others also benefit themselves (Cazden & Mehan, 1989), it is our goal at Salisbury University to enable candidates to connect positively to other cultures, to other social classes, to other family structures and to other races and ethnicities. Furthermore, we believe that quality instruction must go beyond recognition and acceptance of diversity; it must result in highty dealirning and student achievement. Studententered learning results in successful achievement when students are engaged in active learning, problem solving, and exploration. Therefore, profession grams emphasize preparation grounded in the convition that educators must foster a climate conducive for inquiry and active construction of knowledge (Brooks & Brooks, 1993; Bransford, Brown and Cocking, 2000). Teacher candidates at Selarn the knowledge, skills and dispositions to enable them to erbeth culturally responsive and inclusive practices as described by Banks, Geonitan Moll, Richert, Zeichner, LePage & Darlingammond (2005).

At Salisbury Universitynitial candidates observe and work in the fielderarly foundations and human development courses, typically taken in the freshman or sophomore year. Following admission to the Professional Teacher Education Program, candidates register for field experience in conjunction with professional programmethods course work. The focus estignments in the field experiences has been expanded from the traditional question of "have I learned?" to "did my students learn because of my teaching and instructional interventions?" This dual theme of candidate learning and student learning continues and is strongly emphasized during the last two semesters of the program when candidates are completing the 10 day internship in a PDS classroom. A student learning emphasis continues in advanced programs up the field experiences associativith each graduate program.

Program preparation allowsandidates to develop skills in plannings, sessing and modifying instruction based on student progress. The notion of linking professional candidate performance to P-12 student tearning is has become an expectation for candidates in professional programs (ATE, 2004; Pankrantz, 2001; Wiseman and Knight, 2006) Maryland, the annualetacher Performance Improvement Plan provides examples of udent learning outcomes achieved through collaborative school intern-faculty Professiona Development School (PDS) action plath Maryland PDS schools, intern performance through a cheaching model allows student achievement to be linked to intern performance. Prior to internshipteacher candidate are engaged in engoing formative and summative assessment to inform instruction, tearly field experiences as well as clinical practice through professional program field placement Candidate analyze P12 student data to inform the teaching

teachers and other professional eduoas. Grossman, Schoenfeld & Lee (2005) argue, "We believe that a grounding of inquiry in a particular discipline will help prospective teachers create inquientyed classrooms for their students" (p. 230). Research indicates that teachers must havended of the disciplines they teach in order to create effective instruction (Hill, Rowan, & Ball, 2005).

Bransford, et al. (2000) stated that, "To develop competence in an area of inquiry, students must a) have a deep foundation of factual knowledge understand facts and idea in the content of a Conceptual frameworkand c) organize knowledge in ways that facilitate retrieval and application" (p. 16). Deep interconnected content knowledge consists of:

- Integration among disciplines
- Crosscutting themes—e.g.,
  - o Writing across the curriculum
  - Environmental Decision making
  - o Reading in the content areas
  - Financial literacy
  - o Careers
  - Technology & digital literacy
  - Knowledge of diverse cultures

Elementary Education and Early Childhood teacher candidates University take a broad array of carefully selected general education courses in the Arts and Sciences, which include the study of Composition and Literature, History, Geography, Biology, Physical Science, Earth Science, Art, Communication and Matematics as well as additional selected course work from the social sciences. In addition, each teacher candidate must declare a minor area of study with a minimum of declare distribution. Candidates ay select from more than forty minors are encouraged to select a minor in a subject area that is taught in Pschools. Secondary education candidates major in a content area such as English, mathematics logy, earth science, chemistry hysics, Spanish, French, or history. Candidate in K12 programs choose majors in healthy sical education musicor T-ESOL. Advance and idates are required to select courses that develop content knowledge as part of the program of study they plan in conjunction with their advisors.

Implementing effective strategies based on scholarly research, students' learning needs, and the instructional context.

Although disciplinary knowledge is a necessary component of the knowledge base for teaching, it is not sufficient. Candidates must also develop generadagogical knowledge, curriculum knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, knowledge of learners and their characteristics, and knowledge of education contexts (Shulman, 1987) ch of these aspects of the knowledge base for

as part of the development of content knowledge (Lad Sollings, 1994). It has the potential to address existing inequities in schooling and other social contexts. Students of teachers who employ culturally diverse pedagogy have shown encoging growth in their knowledge of content (Gutstein, 2003). At Salisbury University, initial andidates become familiar with diverse cultures in education foundations courses and in their general education courses in history, humanities, and social scritting learn to connect that knowledge to teaching strategies as part of their teaching methods courses. Advanced candidates study diversity in education as part of the required core for a master's degree. Candidates at the undergraduate and graduate less complete field experiences in the diverse local public schools in the region. The strong commitment to teacher preparation in the area of diversity aligns well with the overall goal of the university to encourage individuals to understand and values divertures.

Committing to a lifelong process of scholarly learning across the domains of professional knowledge

We also believe that those who are preparing for a career in education should value the idea of what it means to be a scholar and to possession and enthusiasm for learning. Goodlad (1991) identified four dimensions of teaching 1) facilitating enculturation 2) providing access to knowledge 3) building an effective teachestudent connection and 4) practicing goal stewardship.

a2-(p)-03-(l)-3-(l)-3-(

teacher education does not exist in isolation; rather, it is a reciprocal process which ultimately should result in the improvement of schools. The professional collaboration and developmocesses embedded in the work of preparing teachers and other educational professionals at Salisbury University stems from a clear and thoughtful conception of high expectations for candidates involves

Establish productive relationship with ed

## their program

Perhaps the most distinctive manifestion of collaboration is found in Salisbury University's leadership in develoing a cateaching model fointerns and mentors during the extensive 100 y internship required of all preservice teacher candidates This approach, which began as a single classroom experiment conducted by an SU faculty member and a local cooperating teacher in 1998, eventually became the established norm for internentor collaboration throughout SU's network of 34 professional development schools. In the SU model, meterorhers are asked to remain engaged in instruction throughout the internship, to eplan lessons with their interns, and to use a variety of co teaching strategies to deliver instruction. Gradually, the lead voice in the classroom shifts from mentor to intern, while the joint efforts of two teachers allows for more ambitious lessons and increased differentiation of instruction. As Bacharch, Heck and Dalhberg (2010) point incomplete depends on the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6()]TJ -0.001 Tc 0.00\$ and the development of collaborate te3(181c)1.1(h)5.2(i)2.8(n)5.2(g)5.6(i)3.1(ii)3.1(ii)3.1(ii)3.1(iii)3.1(iii)3.1(iii)3.1(iii)3.1(iii)3.1(iiii)

achieving the status of Evidence of SU found in the more than example is the emphasis on collaborative planning and teianghouring internships. Collaboration across programsoccursparticularly in professionadourses, where candidates engage in growing nted activities and projects and collaborative teaching is modeled by course instructors. Second, candidates in both pre-service and advanced programs llaborate to create and implementearning activities within a constructivist framework Skills learned in initial and advanced programs translated collaborative relationships in schools, including teaming samding on school improvement teams and committees. Collaboration among teachers at school sites and the liversity are manifest in the creation and development of Professional Development Schools. What undergirds all of these activities and examples of ollaboration is the notion that we learn bein cooperation

community that culminate in acommitment to teaching, learningnd service. Continued participation in collaborative professional development activities that are systematic in nature enhance the likelihood of individual development and overall school improvement mission of schooling in today's society is to prepare students to meet the opportunities the 2 ft century. Increasingly this means providing students the tools to learn and collaborate in new ways fueled pidly changing technology Education professionals are responsible fielding their students meethe challenges and demands of a diversesociety as responsible digital citizens. The decision [(T).ok6al csC10.6(le0.004 Tc 0.000k .9(m)-(y)3.4()3(i)

## References

AbdalHaqq, I. (1998). ThousandOaks, CA:

Corwin Press.

American Psychological Association. (1997).

Washington, DC

Anyon, J. (1987). Social class and school knowledge. , , 3-42.

Aud, S., Hussar, W., Johnson, F., Kena, G., Roth, E., Manning, E., Wang, X., and Zhang, J. (2012). (NCES 201**2**45). U.S. Department of Education, National Center

for Education Statistics. WashingtorCPRetrieved from <a href="http://nces.ed.gov/pubsea">http://nces.ed.gov/pubsea</a>rch

Bacharach, N., Heck, T. W., & Dahlberg, K. (2010). Changing the Face of Student Teaching through Coteaching. 1, 314.

Banks, J.& CochrarSmith, L., Moll, A., Reichert, KejoZhner, K., Le Page, P., Darlingmmond, L (2005). Teaching Diverse Learners. In L. Darlingmmond& J. Bransford (Eds.)

San Francisco, CA: Jos Says 232-274

Bartlett, F. (1932). . Cambridge, MA: H

Christensen, D. (1996). The professional knowledgearch base for teacher education. In J. Sikula (Ed.). , pp. 3852. New York: Macmillan.

CochranSmith, M. & Lytle, S.L. (1999). Relationshippfsknowledge & practice: teacher learning in communities.

Vol. 29. (pp. 249306). Washington, DC:

American Education Research Association

CochranSmith, M. & Lytle, S.L. (1999)

Educational

Researcher(Vol. 28, No. 77, pp. 125).

Coleman, J. S. (1966). Washington D., U.S. Government Printing Office.

Common Core Standards Initiative, (2012). Common core standards for English language arts and literacy in historysocial studies, science, and technical subjects. http://www.corestandards.org/assets/CCSSI\_ELA%20Standards.pdf

Common Cre Standards Initiative (2012). Common core state standards for mathematics. http://www.corestandards.org/assets/CCSSI\_Math%20Standards.pdf

Conners, K.J. (2005)cholarly Foundatin for Collaborative Teaching in PDS Internshipsublished manuscript, Salisbury University.

Crouch, R. & Zakariya, S. (2012).

Arlington, VA: National Cter for Public Education. Cornelius White, J. (2007). Learnerentered teache-student relationships are effective: A metanalysis.
, (1), 113143.

Darling-Hammond, L. (1990). Teacher professionalism: hay and how. In A. Leberman (Ed.), Washington, DQFalmer Press.

Darling-Hammond, L., & Cobb, V. L. (1996). The changing context of teachatiedudn F. B. Murray (Ed.),

(pp. 14-62), San Francisco: Jos Bass.

DarlingHammond, L., Banks, S., Zummott, K., Gomez, L., Sherin, M. G., Griesdorn, S. & Finn, L. (2005). Educational goals and purposes: developing a curricidium/for teaching. In L. Darling Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.). . National Academy of Education. San Francisco, CA: Jo-Bass.

DarlingHammond, L. & Bransford, J. (Eds.). (2005)

. National Academy of Sciencan Francisco: Jossey

Bass.

DarlingHammond, L. (2008). Teacher quality definition debates: What is an effective teacher? In T.L. Good (Ed.), University of Arizona, Tuscon: Sage Publications, Inc.

Dewey, J. (1938). New York: Macmillan.

Dieker, L. A., & Baett, C. A. (1996). Effective-teaching.

, 29(1), 57.

Dill, D. D.(1990).

San Francisco: Joss Pass Publishers.

Donovan, S.S., Bransford&JPelligrino, J.W. Eds.). (1999).

. Academy of Science. Washington, Dolational Academy Press.

DuFour, R., Eaker, R. (1998).

. ASCD. Bloomington, IN: National Education Service.

EdwardsP. A., & Young, L. S

Kunc, N. (1992). The need to belong: Rediscovering Maslow's hierarchy of needs. In Villa, R., Thousand, J., Stainback, W. & Stainback, S. Baltimore: Paul Brookes.

LePage, P., Darlinghammond, L., AkaH. (2005). Classroom Management. In L. Darlinghammond & J. Bransford (Eds.).

National Academy of Science. San Francisco, Stey Bass 327-257

Lewis, L., Basmat, P., Carey, N., Bartfai, N., Farris, E., & Smerdon, B. (1999).

NCES 199980. Washington, DC:

U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

Levine, A. (2006). . Washington DC: Educational Schools Project

Luttenberg, J., & Bergen, T. (2008). Teacher reflection: the development of a typology. Teachers & Teaching, 14(5/6), 54**5**66. doi:10.1080/13540600802583713

Maryland HigheEducation Commission. (1995). . Annapolis, MD: Author.

Maryland State Dep7(,)-4oti-3(pm)45(e)-6(n)13.21ta (D)-1 Dep7(,)-4o-3.3(s-)-32, r Ec -0.045(e)-6()6fTj 0.4907

education to P12 student learning: for perspectives. Paper presented at thenual Meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education. Washington, DC.

Payne, R.K. (1998).

Baytown, TX: RFT Publishing Co.

Piaget, J. (1973).

London: Routledge & Kagen Paul.

Pine, G. (2003). Making a difference: a professional development school's impact on student learning. In D. Wiseman & S. Knight

(Eds.). Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education.

Resnick, L. B. (1981) earning in school athout. In F. B. Murray (Ed.),

San Fracisco: Josse Bass.

Robinson, S. (2007).

Washington DC: American Association of

Colleges for Teacher Education

Rose, D., Meyer, A. & Htichcock, C. (2005).

Cambridge, MA: Harvard

Education Press.

Rosenshie, B., & Stevens, R. (1986) achinguinctions. In M. Wittock (Ed.),

Vaughn, S., Schumm, J.S. and Arguelles,(IMPE7)The ABCDE's of 45s